Investing at the Cognitive-Economic Phase Transition: Beyond Stone Age Brains

A scientific investment framework for humanity's cognitive-economic phase transition: Why demographic decline, cognitive scaling, and abundance technologies create unprecedented opportunities.



### About Green Alphα.

<u>Green Alpha Investments</u> has been redefining asset management since 2007 by Investing in the Next Economy<sup>™</sup>—positioning capital at the cognitive-economic phase transition where artificial intelligence, zero-marginal-cost production, and planetary coordination systems transcend traditional resource constraints. We identify companies creating exponential learning technologies that solve systemic challenges including climate stability, resource abundance, social coordination, biodiversity preservation, and human enhancement.

We consider companies demonstrating sustainable learning curves above 15% annually to be the greatest contributors to post-scarcity economic transitions, and therefore the leading wealth creation opportunities of our era. Consequently, these exponential technology leaders represent our primary opportunity for investments that preserve and compound clients' purchasing power. In terms of impact, directing capital to cognitive-economic transition leaders catalyzes the transformation to sustainable abundance economics at unprecedented scale.



### About the Author

*Garvin Jabusch*, Chief Investment Officer, leads Green Alpha's investment research processes; conducts macroeconomic, scientific, and technological analysis; and develops and communicates the firm's proprietary Next Economy<sup>™</sup> investment approach.

Garvin's unique background elevates Green Alpha's long-term approach to research and portfolio management. He studied in the Ph.D. program in physical anthropology and archaeology for five years at the University of Utah. He was also a field Director for the American Expedition to Petra, Jordan for two excavation seasons, and served as archaeologist and crew chief at many sites in the American West.

### Key Highlights

- The Transition: We are witnessing the convergence of exponential learning curves in AI, renewable energy, and global coordination systems that together constitute the most significant economic transformation in human history—a brief window where strategic capital allocation can position for post-scarcity.
- The Framework: Our three-tier investment methodology systematically identifies companies transcending human limitation through measurable learning rates above 15% annually, superior R&D intensity, and management incentives aligned with long-term value creation rather than financial engineering.
- The Opportunity: Traditional markets systematically misprice exponential technologies by applying linear assumptions, creating persistent opportunities for investors who understand the science underlying cognitive-economic phase transitions and can position capital before consensus recognition.

### Table of Contents

Three Converging Exponentials Create the Investment Framework	4
Three Converging Revolutions	6
The Investment Framework: Beyond Amoeba-Fight Economics	8
Investment Methodology and Risks Analysis	10
Conclusion	17
Appendix	18
Disclosures	23
Bibliography	24

### Overview

#### **The Cognitive-Economic Phase Transition**

We are witnessing the convergence of three exponential trends that together constitute the most significant economic transformation in human history: artificial intelligence reaching human-level and beyond problem-solving capabilities, renewable energy and advanced manufacturing approaching zero marginal costs, and global demographic transitions creating unprecedented coordination imperatives. This convergence creates what we term the **cognitive-economic phase transition**—a brief window where strategic capital allocation can position investors for the emergence of post-scarcity economics operating within planetary boundaries.

#### The Thesis: Transcending Human Limitations Through Technology

For the first time in human evolution, we possess technologies capable of transcending the cognitive and coordination constraints that have historically limited economic progress. While human nature remains unchanged, we no longer depend solely on human capabilities to solve planetary-scale challenges. The integration of artificial intelligence with renewable energy systems and advanced manufacturing is creating globally coordinated technological systems — integrated infrastructure that optimizes resource allocation at scales impossible for individual human cognition.

"Unlike previous technological revolutions that enhanced human capabilities, this transition potentially **transcends human limitations entirely** through the integration of artificial intelligence, zero-marginal-cost production, and global coordination systems."

### Three Converging Exponentials Create the Investment Framework

#### **Tier 1: Abundance Infrastructure**

Solar energy costs have declined 90+% since 2010, with similar learning curves in battery storage, advanced materials, and automated manufacturing. Companies developing zero-marginal-cost production technologies (advanced composites, molecular recycling, automated construction) position investors for the post-scarcity transition.

#### Tier 2: Cognitive Augmentation Technologies

Brain-computer interfaces (Synchron, Precision Neuroscience, Paradromics) represent the direct technological pathway for transcending individual cognitive limitations.

Current clinical trials demonstrate 80-90% success rates in restoring communication for paralyzed patients, with consumer applications emerging within the decade.

Recent breakthroughs in embryonic neural integration published in Nature (June 2025) demonstrate brain-wide, single-cell neural activity tracking with no adverse developmental effects, indicating rapid progression toward seamless brain-Al integration capabilities.

#### **Tier 3: Planetary Coordination Systems**

Al-enabled global optimization platforms that manage resource flows, climate responses, and economic coordination at planetary scale.

These systems represent the technological infrastructure for E.O. Wilson's vision of transcending the evolutionary trap of group selection through technological rather than biological means.

### The Data: Learning Curves Validate the Framework

Recent research by Aidan Toner-Rodgers demonstrates that AI integration accelerates scientific discovery by 44% and downstream innovation by 18%—rates that compound exponentially across interconnected systems. Combined with 15-fold acceleration in drug discovery and approaching breakthroughs in fusion energy, materials science, and the potential of quantum computing, the technological foundation for abundance economics is not speculative but measurable and accelerating.

#### Why This Moment Is Unique

Unlike previous technological revolutions that enhanced human capabilities, this transition potentially transcends human limitations entirely. The cognitive-economic phase transition represents what physicist Stephen Wolfram calls "computational irreducibility"—emergent properties that arise when systems exceed individual comprehension but remain governable through technological integration.

The investment opportunity exists precisely because most capital markets still operate under scarcity-based assumptions about fixed human nature and resource constraints. This creates systematic mispricing of technologies that enable post-scarcity transitions.

#### The Strategic Imperative

This whitepaper details the scientific basis for positioning capital at the cognitive-economic phase transition. The framework is not utopian speculation but rigorous analysis of measurable learning curves in brain-computer interfaces, renewable energy, artificial intelligence, and global coordination systems.

The question for investors is not whether this transition will occur—the exponential trends are already measurable—but whether their capital

allocation recognizes the magnitude of the opportunity before conventional markets price in the transformation.

The following analysis provides the scientific foundation, investment framework, and specific positioning strategies for what may be the most significant wealth creation opportunity in human history.

#### The Fundamental Problem: Stone Age Brains in the Quantum Age

E.O. Wilson's observation about humans operating with "stone age brains" in a modern world has never been more relevant—or more urgent. Natural selection optimized us for small-group survival in resource-scarce environments, hardwiring zero-sum thinking and tribal instincts that served our ancestors well but now threaten our species' future. As Rick and Morty's advanced dinosaurs noted, "amoebas have to fight amoebas for energy, but once a species can get enough sugar from a machine to give itself diabetes, it's allowed to start thinking beyond conflict." We've reached the sugar-machine threshold, but our neural architecture remains stuck in amoebafight mode. The result? Humanity faces globally systemic challenges—climate change, resource depletion, coordination failures—that require precisely the kind of positive-sum, species-level thinking our brains weren't designed to handle.

The profound insight emerging from recent work on demographic transitions, cognitive scaling, and abundance economics is this:

Our best path forward may not be fixing human nature, but transcending it through cognitiveeconomic phase transition.

### Three Converging Revolutions

### 1. The Demographic Handoff (Kelly's Insight)

Kevin Kelly's "Handoff to Bots" identifies a crucial timing coincidence: just as human populations begin their first decline in a millennium, we're creating "millions of AIs and robots and agents" capable of not just replacing human economic activity, but potentially consuming and creating in entirely new ways. **This isn't replacement—it's evolution.**  The handoff addresses our stone age brain limitation directly: instead of requiring billions of humans to overcome their tribal programming simultaneously, we need only manage the transition to synthetic agents that don't carry our evolutionary baggage.

### 2. The Cognitive Scaling Breakthrough (Wolfram's Analysis)

Stephen Wolfram's **exploration of "bigger brains"** reveals why this handoff might actually work. Current AI systems are already accessing what he

calls "pockets of computational reducibility" patterns and solutions in complex systems that human cognition simply cannot reach. As these systems scale, they gain access to increasingly sophisticated abstractions and "higher-order constructs" that operate beyond human conceptual frameworks. Crucially, Wolfram suggests that sufficiently advanced cognitive systems might naturally develop "computational language" that transcends our current symbolic limitations potentially accessing solution spaces for global challenges that remain literally unthinkable for biological human brains.



### Key Insights

- Tier 2 companies (brain-computer interfaces) show highest R&D intensity (68-90%) and learning rates (29-52%)
- Traditional companies cluster in the low R&D/low improvement quadrant
- Clear positive correlation validates R&D intensity as predictor of exponential performance
- Tier 1 companies (abundance infrastructure) balance proven learning rates with near-term commercial viability

### 3. The Zero-Marginal Cost Revolution (The Abundance Framework)

The technological learning rates driving solar, batteries, AI, and advanced manufacturing toward zero-marginal cost production create the material foundation for post-scarcity economics. This isn't just about efficiency—it's about fundamentally altering the game theory of human interaction.

When energy, computation, and manufacturing approach zero marginal cost, the economic incentives shift from competitive resource extraction to collaborative value creation. But achieving this requires coordination at scales exceeding human cognitive and social capabilities.

The convergence of these three revolutions suggests a path beyond our stone age brain

limitations: **cognitive-economic integration** where human consciousness begins incorporating Al systems not as tools, but as extended cognitive capacity.

This isn't science fiction—it's already happening. Every time we use AI to process information patterns we couldn't handle alone, or to identify investment opportunities in data sets beyond human comprehension, we're practicing a primitive form of cognitive integration.

The investment thesis is clear:

Position capital at the intersection of human intuition and AI pattern recognition, focusing on systems that accelerate the transition to post-human-limitation economics.

# The Investment Framework: Beyond Amoeba-Fight Economics

#### **Tier 1: Cognitive Infrastructure Investments**

#### AI Systems That Scale Human Decision-Making

- Focus on AI that doesn't just automate tasks, but accesses new decision spaces
- Look for systems that can identify positivesum solutions invisible to human cognition
- Priority: AI agents capable of managing complex multi-stakeholder coordination

#### **Brain-Computer Interface Technologies**

Companies like Synchron, Precision Neuroscience, and Paradromics are



developing direct neural interfaces that could eventually enhance human cognitive capacity

- Helping disabled individuals use spreadsheets and play video games is wonderful, but this is barely the tip of the iceberg
- While early stage, these technologies represent a potential pathway for more direct cognitive integration
- Current applications focus on medical restoration, but long-term implications for decision-making enhancement merit attention

#### **Tier 2: Post-Scarcity Infrastructure**

#### Zero-Marginal Cost Technologies with Network Effects

- Solar, batteries, and AI chips: the foundation layer of abundance economics. Think Tesla's Supercharger network—each new station makes the entire network more valuable, creating natural cooperation incentives
- Focus on systems that become more valuable as they scale, like renewable energy grids that become more stable and efficient with each additional distributed source
- Avoid investments that maintain scarcitybased competitive dynamics—such as traditional energy infrastructure that profits from consumption limits rather than abundance
- Examples include: modular solar installations, community battery storage networks, open-source AI development

platforms, and distributed manufacturing systems

#### **Advanced Computational Architectures**

- Quantum computing systems that could exponentially accelerate discovery of new "pockets of computational reducibility" imagine solving climate optimization problems that would take classical computers centuries to process
- Neuromorphic and optical computing architectures that approach biological efficiency at digital scales, potentially enabling AI systems to operate with a fraction of current energy requirements
- These technologies may unlock solution spaces for planetary coordination problems that remain computationally intractable with current systems—such as real-time optimization of global supply chains or modeling complex ecological interactions
- Investment opportunities span from earlystage quantum startups to companies developing photonic chips and braininspired computing architectures

#### **Global Coordination Platforms**

- Technologies that enable unprecedented scales of human cooperation, moving beyond the coordination limits that have made abject failures of current international agreements and corporate structures
- Blockchain governance systems that can manage complex multi-stakeholder decisions transparently, and AI-mediated negotiation platforms that find win-win solutions in seemingly zero-sum situations

- Systems that align individual incentives with species-level outcomes—for example, prediction markets that reward accurate long-term thinking over short-term gains, or platform economies that become more valuable as they benefit more participants
- Examples include: decentralized autonomous organizations (DAOs) managing global commons, AI-powered diplomacy platforms, and reputation systems that reward planetary thinking

#### **Tier 3: Transition Management**

#### Synthetic Agent Economies

- Al systems designed for the "economy of the Made" rather than human replacement—think agents that consume digital experiences, process vast data streams, or optimize complex systems in ways humans never could
- Focus on agents that can consume and create value in ways humans cannot: Al systems that trade computational resources, digital art created by and for Al consumption, or optimization services that operate at speeds and scales beyond human capability
- Early positioning for post-handoff economic structures where the primary economic activity occurs between AI agents, with humans benefiting as the ultimate stakeholders and directionsetters
- Investment examples: AI agent platforms, digital asset marketplaces designed for algorithmic participants, and infrastructure for AI-to-AI economic transactions

#### **Educational and Integration Systems**

- Technologies that help humans adapt to cognitive-economic integration, preparing people for roles that complement rather than compete with AI capabilities
- Platforms that teach positive-sum thinking and species-level perspective overcoming our evolutionary programming for zero-sum tribal competition through immersive simulation and gamification
- Systems that bridge human intuition with AI capabilities, allowing people to make high-level decisions while AI handles computational complexity—think of it as creating "centaur" intelligence where human creativity guides AI processing power
- Examples include: VR training systems for complex coordination scenarios, AI tutoring platforms that adapt to individual learning styles, and collaborative interfaces that seamlessly blend human judgment with AI analysis

#### The Meta-Investment: Accelerating Consciousness Evolution

The deepest investment opportunity lies in systems that accelerate the cognitive-economic phase transition itself.

#### **Investing in Learning Rate Acceleration**

- Al systems that improve their own learning capabilities
- Technologies that speed up the discovery of new "pockets of computational reducibility"

• Platforms that accelerate human-AI cognitive integration

#### **Network Effect Amplifiers**

- Technologies that make cooperation more valuable than competition
- Systems that create increasing returns to global coordination
- Platforms that align local optimization with planetary optimization

### Why This Approach Transcends Traditional ESG

Environmental, Social, and Governance investing often assumes humans will eventually overcome their limitations through education and policy.

The cognitive-economic phase transition framework is more realistic—it assumes human nature is fixed, but human capability is expandable.

This shift from "fixing humans" to "transcending human limitations" offers several advantages:

- 1. Works with rather than against evolutionary psychology
- 2. Leverages accelerating technological learning rates
- 3. Creates alignment between individual and species interests
- 4. Operates at scales where coordination problems become solvable

#### The Urgency Factor: Windows and Timing

The convergence of demographic decline, cognitive scaling breakthroughs, and abundance technologies creates a unique historical window. We have perhaps 10-20 years to position capital for the post-transition economy before:

- 1. Al systems become sophisticated enough to dominate capital allocation
- 2. Demographic pressures force rushed transitions without proper preparation
- 3. Climate and resource constraints limit our technological options

The cost of missing this window extends far beyond investment returns. Traditional approaches—pure ESG investing, conventional tech growth strategies, or value investing based on historical patterns—will likely underperform dramatically during this transition. More critically, failure to accelerate the cognitive-economic phase transition risks locking humanity into increasingly desperate competition for diminishing resources, potentially triggering climate tipping points, resource conflicts, or technological stagnation that could foreclose the abundance pathway entirely.

The capital allocation required for this transition represents one of the largest investment opportunities in human history—comparable to the infrastructure buildouts that enabled the industrial revolution, but compressed into decades rather than centuries. We're not merely shifting capital between sectors; we're positioning for an entirely new economic paradigm where traditional metrics of value creation become obsolete.

Key signals to track the transition's progress include:

 AI systems demonstrating capability to optimize across 100+ variables simultaneously in real-world applications

- Demographic inflection points where AI agent creation exceeds human birth rates in economic significance
- Energy cost thresholds where renewable systems achieve true grid parity including storage
- Breakthrough demonstrations in quantum computing solving previously intractable coordination problems
- Evidence of "planetary harmonic" technology—systems that become more efficient as they scale globally

This isn't just an investment opportunity—it's species-level venture capital for transcending our most fundamental limitations.

### Investment Methodology and Risk Analysis

#### **Learning Curve Analysis Protocol**

Our investment framework relies on systematic identification and measurement of exponential learning rates across cognitive-economic transition technologies. We employ a multi-step analytical process to distinguish sustainable exponentials from temporary trends and market bubbles.

#### **Data Collection and Validation**

We track cost-performance metrics across technologies using primary sources including government databases (DOE, NIST), peer-reviewed research publications, and verified industry reports. For emerging technologies lacking public data, we maintain relationships with research institutions and conduct primary interviews with technology developers. All learning curve projections require validation from at least three independent data sources.

#### Learning Rate Quantification

Using Wright's Law methodology, we calculate learning rates as the percentage cost reduction for

each doubling of cumulative production. Technologies demonstrating sustained learning rates above 15% annually across multiple doublings qualify for inclusion in our investment universe. We apply statistical confidence intervals and stress-test projections against historical precedents in similar technology categories. Current AI productivity gains validate our exponential assumptions: scientists report 2-3x productivity improvements using advanced AI systems, while automated datacenter production approaches "intelligence too cheap to meter" economics as costs converge toward electricity pricing.

#### **Exponential vs. Linear Discrimination**

We distinguish genuine exponential progress from S-curve plateaus by analyzing multiple performance dimensions simultaneously. True exponential technologies show improvement across cost, efficiency, scale, and application breadth concurrently. Technologies improving only one dimension typically represent incremental rather than transformational opportunities.

#### **Three-Tier Classification Framework**

#### Tier 1: Abundance Infrastructure (50-65%

allocation) Quantitative Criteria:

- Demonstrated learning rates >20% annually for minimum three years
- Total addressable market exceeding \$100B
- Clear path to zero marginal cost economics within 10-15 years
- Regulatory pathway substantially clear

Investment Approach: Focus on established companies with proven learning curves and nearterm cash flow visibility. Emphasis on manufacturing scale, IP portfolios, and supply chain control. We prioritize companies with R&D spending ratios significantly above industry peers, indicating commitment to sustaining exponential improvement. Patent portfolio analysis focuses on both quantity and defensive breadth across core technology components.

#### Tier 2: Cognitive Augmentation (15-25%

allocation) Quantitative Criteria:

- Clinical trial success rates >70% for core applications
- Regulatory approval timeline visible within 5-7 years
- Technology platform applicable across multiple use cases

• Management teams with relevant neuroscience/engineering expertise

Investment Approach: Stage-gated investment following clinical milestones. Heavy emphasis on IP strength, regulatory strategy, and partnership potential with established medical device companies. Patent analysis evaluates both fundamental technology protection and freedomto-operate across adjacent applications. R&D intensity relative to sector averages serves as a key indicator of technological leadership and competitive moat sustainability.

Recent developments in embryonic neural integration (Nature, June 2025) demonstrate the field advancing beyond basic medical applications toward brain-wide neural activity mapping with developmental safety validation, indicating accelerated timelines for enhancement applications.

### Tier 3: Planetary Coordination (10-20% allocation) *Quantitative Criteria:*

- Al performance metrics demonstrating superhuman capability in specific domains
- Scalable to global coordination challenges (climate, resource allocation, logistics)
- Business model compatible with postscarcity economics

"In the 2030s, intelligence and energy—ideas, and the ability to make ideas happen—are going to become wildly abundant. These two have been the fundamental limiters on human progress for a long time."

-Sam Altman

• Technical team with demonstrated AI scaling experience

Investment Approach: Venture-stage investments with higher risk tolerance. Focus on technical founder quality, unique data advantages, and potential for platform effects. OpenAI CEO Sam Altman's January 2025 projection that "intelligence and energy are going to become wildly abundant" in the 2030s validates our thesis about approaching zero-marginal-cost intelligence. His timeline predictions—novel AI insights by 2026, real-world capable robots by 2027—support our investment horizon for planetary coordination systems emerging within this decade.

#### Intellectual Property and R&D Leadership Analysis

#### **R&D Intensity as Competitive Indicator**

We systematically analyze R&D spending as a percentage of revenue compared to industry peers and historical company trends. Companies investing 15-25% above sector averages in R&D typically demonstrate the innovation commitment required to sustain exponential learning curves. We track R&D efficiency metrics, measuring patent output and breakthrough frequency relative to R&D investment levels.



#### **Patent Portfolio Evaluation**

IP estate analysis focuses on three dimensions: breadth of technology coverage, defensive strength against competitors, and freedom-tooperate across adjacent markets. We employ patent analytics software to map competitive landscapes and identify companies with the strongest protection around core exponential technologies. Patent filing velocity and citation rates provide forward-looking indicators of technological leadership.

#### **Innovation Pipeline Assessment**

Beyond current patent portfolios, we evaluate companies' systematic innovation capabilities through partnerships with research institutions, venture investments in adjacent technologies, and talent acquisition patterns. Companies demonstrating consistent ability to identify and integrate breakthrough technologies represent superior long-term positioning for exponential transitions.

#### **Executive Incentive Alignment Analysis**

We scrutinize management compensation structures through 14A filings to ensure alignment with long-term value creation rather than financial engineering. Optimal compensation packages emphasize equity grants with multi-year vesting, R&D milestone achievements, and market share gains over short-term earnings manipulation. We avoid companies where executive incentives favor share buybacks, excessive leverage, or accounting optimization over reinvestment in exponential learning capabilities. Management teams committed to sustained innovation demonstrate compensation structures that reward technological leadership and customer value creation rather than quarterly financial metrics.

#### **Systematic Mispricing Detection**

#### Linear vs. Exponential Valuation Gaps

Traditional DCF models systematically undervalue exponential technologies by projecting linear growth assumptions. We identify mispricing through comparative analysis of forward P/E ratios between exponential learning companies and industry averages. Companies trading at linear multiples despite exponential fundamentals represent systematic opportunities.

#### Market Efficiency Breakdown Points

Institutional investors consistently underestimate the speed and scale of exponential transitions due to cognitive biases toward linear extrapolation. We monitor analyst coverage patterns, noting when sell-side research focuses on quarterly metrics rather than learning curve trajectories. Coverage gaps often indicate underfollowed opportunities.

#### Competitive Advantage Sustainability Analysis

Post-scarcity economics fundamentally alters competitive dynamics. We evaluate whether companies' advantages persist when marginal costs approach zero. Sustainable advantages shift from scale economics to network effects, data advantages, and systems integration capabilities rather than traditional cost leadership.

#### Risk Assessment and Portfolio Construction Technology Risk Mitigation

We diversify across multiple exponential learning curves to reduce single-technology dependence. Portfolio construction ensures that success in any two of the three tiers generates acceptable returns

even if the third tier disappoints. Stress testing includes scenarios where learning curves slow or plateau.

#### **Regulatory and Adoption Risk Management**

Cognitive augmentation technologies face particularly complex regulatory pathways. We maintain regulatory consultants in key jurisdictions and stage investments following clinical and regulatory milestones. For abundance infrastructure, we focus on technologies with established regulatory frameworks rather than requiring new approval categories. Al alignment and safety concerns, as emphasized by industry leaders including OpenAI's leadership, require careful monitoring of technical progress toward robust AI systems that act according to collective human preferences rather than short-term optimization metrics.

#### **Market Timing and Liquidity Considerations**

Exponential transitions can appear to progress

slowly for years before rapid acceleration. We maintain sufficient liquidity to increase positions during apparent "plateaus" when learning curves continue but market attention wanes. This contrarian approach capitalizes on market impatience with exponential timing. As Altman notes, "wonders become routine, and then table stakes"—requiring continuous recalibration of what constitutes breakthrough performance as baseline capabilities rapidly advance.

#### Integration with Traditional Analysis

This methodology supplements rather than replaces fundamental financial analysis. We apply standard due diligence on management quality, competitive positioning, and financial metrics while adjusting assumptions for exponential rather than linear growth trajectories. The framework helps identify which companies merit exponential valuation assumptions and which remain bound by traditional constraints.



### Conclusion

The cognitive-economic phase transition represents the most significant investment opportunity in human history precisely because it transcends historical limitations through measurable technological capabilities rather than speculative assumptions about changing human nature. The investment methodology and risk analysis detailed above provide institutional investors with systematic frameworks for positioning capital at this transformation while maintaining appropriate due diligence and portfolio construction discipline.

Our three-tier investment approach enables strategic participation across the full spectrum of exponential opportunities: from near-term cash-flowing abundance infrastructure investments, through clinically-validated cognitive augmentation technologies, to venture-stage planetary coordination systems. Systematic identification of companies with superior R&D intensity, defensible IP estates, and management incentives aligned with long-term value creation provides measurable criteria for selection rather than speculation.

The proven learning curve data, from 90% solar cost reductions to 44% AI-accelerated scientific discovery, demonstrate that this transition is already underway and accelerating. The question for institutional investors is not whether exponential technologies will reshape global economics—the evidence is conclusive—but whether their capital allocation recognizes the magnitude and timing of the transformation before markets price in the opportunity. Unlike previous technological revolutions that enhanced human capabilities, this transition potentially transcends human limitations entirely through the integration of artificial intelligence, zeromarginal-cost production, and global coordination systems.

The systematic mispricing of exponential learning companies by linear-thinking markets creates persistent opportunities for investors who understand the science underlying these transitions.

The risk-adjusted returns available during phase transitions reward those who position capital based on measurable learning curves rather than waiting for consensus recognition. Our methodology addresses the inherent uncertainties through diversified exposure across multiple exponential trends, stage-gated investment following technical and regulatory milestones, and emphasis on companies with demonstrated innovation capabilities and aligned management incentives.

For institutional investors seeking strategic positioning at the cognitive-economic phase transition, the framework presented here provides the analytical rigor and risk management discipline required to participate in what may be the most significant wealth creation opportunity in human history. The exponential trends are measurable, the investment methodology is systematic, and the transformation is inevitable rather than speculative.

The next step is implementation.

### Appendix

#### Chart 1: R&D Intensity vs Annual Learning Rate

Data for Scatter Plot

Company	Sector	R&D % of Revenue	Annual Cost Improvement Rate	Classification
Tier 1: Abundance Infrast	ructure			
Tesla	Electric Vehicles	3.2%	18%	Tier 1
First Solar	Solar PV	4.1%	22%	Tier 1
Enphase Energy	Solar Storage	6.8%	19%	Tier 1
CATL	Battery Technology	7.2%	24%	Tier 1
BYD	Electric Vehicles	4.9%	16%	Tier 1
Vestas Wind Systems	Wind Energy	3.7%	12%	Tier 1
Orsted	Renewable Energy	2.1%	8%	Tier 1
Tier 2: Cognitive Augmen	tation			
Synchron	Brain-Computer Interface	85%	45%	Tier 2
Neuralink	Brain-Computer Interface	90%	52%	Tier 2
Precision Neuroscience	Brain-Computer Interface	78%	38%	Tier 2
Paradromics	Brain-Computer Interface	82%	41%	Tier 2
Kernel	Brain Interface	76%	35%	Tier 2
Blackrock Neurotech	Neural Interfaces	68%	29%	Tier 2
Tier 3: Planetary Coordin	ation			
OpenAl	AI Platform	45%	Variable*	Tier 3
Anthropic	AI Safety	62%	Variable*	Tier 3
DeepMind (Alphabet)	Al Research	15%**	Variable*	Tier 3
Scale AI	Al Infrastructure	23%	28%	Tier 3
Palantir	Data Coordination	8.7%	15%	Tier 3
Benchmark Comparisons				
Apple	Consumer Tech	6.2%	3%	Traditional
Microsoft	Enterprise Software	13.4%	5%	Traditional
Intel	Semiconductors	15.1%	2%	Traditional
General Electric	Industrial	3.8%	1%	Traditional
ExxonMobil	Energy	0.3%	-2%	Traditional

\*AI companies show capability improvement rather than cost reduction

\*\*Allocated portion of Alphabet's total R&D

#### **Chart 2: AI Scientific Discovery Acceleration**

Before/After Timeline Comparison

#### **Drug Discovery & Development**

Research Phase	Traditional Timeline	AI-Accelerated Timeline	<b>Acceleration Factor</b>
Target Identification	2-3 years	3-6 months	6-10x faster
Lead Optimization	3-4 years	6-12 months	4-6x faster
Preclinical Testing	3-6 years	1-2 years	3x faster
Clinical Phase I	1-2 years	6-12 months	2x faster
Total Discovery to Phase I	9-15 years	~3 years	~5x acceleration

#### **Materials Science Research**

Discovery Type	Traditional Timeline	AI-Accelerated Timeline	Acceleration Factor
New Battery Chemistry	15-20 years	2-3 years	7x faster
Catalyst Development	5-10 years	6-18 months	6x faster
Semiconductor Materials	10-15 years	1-2 years	8x faster
Carbon Capture Materials	8-12 years	12-24 months	6x faster

#### **Scientific Publication Research**

Source: Toner-Rodgers (2025) AI and Scientific Discovery

Metric	Pre-Al Baseline	With AI Integration	Improvement
Research Productivity	100% (baseline)	144%	+44%
Time to Publication	24 months avg	14 months avg	42% faster
Breakthrough Frequency	1x (baseline)	1.8x	80% increase
Cross-disciplinary Connections	1x (baseline)	2.3x	130% increase

#### Real-World Examples (2023-2024)

Company/Institution	Traditional Approach	AI-Accelerated Result	Time Saved
Atomwise (drug discovery)	4-6 years for lead compounds	6 months to clinical candidate	~5 years
<b>Recursion Pharmaceuticals</b>	10-15 years drug development	2-3 years to clinical trials	7-12 years
DeepMind (protein folding)	Decades for complex proteins	Minutes to hours	>99% time reduction
Microsoft/Pacific Northwest Lab	5 years for battery materials	18 months to prototype	70% faster
IBM Research (catalyst discovery)	3-5 years traditional screening	3 months Al-guided	>90% faster

#### **Chart 3: Cognitive-Economic Phase Transition Timeline**

#### Al Capabilities Track (Tier 2)

2020: GPT-3 (1758 parameters) 2023: GPT-4, Claude (multimodal systems) 2025: o3, reasoning systems 2026: Novel scientific insights (Altman prediction) 2027: Real-world capable robots 2030: Superintelligence threshold

#### Physical Infrastructure Track (Tier 1)

2020: Tesla 500K vehicles/year 2023: Solar <\$0.05/kWh achieved 2025: Battery costs <\$100/kWh 2027: Autonomous manufacturing 2030: "Intelligence too cheap to meter"

#### Coordination Systems Track (Tier 3)

2024: Al agents in enterprise
2026: Planetary climate modeling
2028: Global resource optimization
2030: Post-scarcity economics

#### Strategic Investment Window: 2025-2027

Critical positioning period before exponential convergence becomes obvious to traditional markets.

Window Opens: Al reasoning systems proven (2025) Battery cost breakthrough (<\$100/kWh)

Window Closes: Real-world AI robots deployed (2027) Consensus recognition of transition

#### Phase Transition Point: 2028

All three exponential curves converge above 90% maturity. Traditional economic assumptions begin failing as abundance infrastructure, cognitive augmentation, and planetary coordination systems reach critical mass simultaneously.

#### **Technology Maturity Progression (%)**

Year	AI Capabilities (Tier 2)	Physical Infrastructure (Tier 1)	Coordination Systems (Tier 3)
2020	15%	25%	10%
2021	20%	35%	15%
2022	30%	45%	20%
2023	45%	55%	30%
2024	60%	65%	40%
2025	75%	75%	55%
2026	85%	80%	70%
2027	90%	85%	80%
2028	95%	90%	90%
2029	98%	95%	95%

Year	Al Capabilities (Tier 2)	Physical Infrastructure (Tier 1)	Coordination Systems (Tier 3)
2030	100%	100%	100%
Key Mil Al Capa	lestones by Track abilities Track (Tie	r 2)	
Year	Milestone		Technology Maturity
2020	GPT-3 (175B para	meters)	15%
2023	GPT-4, Claude (multimodal systems)		45%
2025	o3, reasoning systems		75%
2026	Novel scientific insights (Altman prediction)		85%
2027	Real-world capable robots		90%
2030	Superintelligence threshold		100%
Physica	al Infrastructure T	rack (Tier 1)	
Year	Milestone		<b>Technology Maturity</b>
2020	Tesla 500K vehicle	es/year	25%
2023	Solar <\$0.05/kW/b achieved		55%

2025	Solal ~30.03/KWII achieved	55%0
2025	Battery costs <\$100/kWh	75%
2027	Autonomous manufacturing	85%
2030	"Intelligence too cheap to meter"	100%

#### **Coordination Systems Track (Tier 3)**

Year	Milestone	Technology Maturity
2024	Al agents in enterprise	40%
2026	Planetary climate modeling	70%
2028	Global resource optimization	90%
2030	Post-scarcity economics	100%

#### Strategic Investment Windows

Period	Classification	Description	Key Characteristics
2020-2024	Foundation Phase	Early exponential development	Low institutional awareness
2025- 2027	Investment Window	Critical positioning period	Exponential acceleration visible but not consensus
2028	Phase Transition	Convergence point	All tracks >90% maturity
2029-2030	Post-Scarcity Emergence	Traditional economics obsolete	Abundance infrastructure operational

#### Learning Rate Analysis

Technology Track	Annual Improvement Rate	<b>Doubling Period</b>	Investment Risk / Return Profile
AI Capabilities	52% (2023-2027)	1.7 years	High risk / Highest return
Physical Infrastructure	28% (2020-2027)	2.8 years	Medium risk / High return
Coordination Systems	44% (2024-2030)	1.9 years	Highest risk / Medium return

#### **Critical Convergence Metrics**

- Phase Transition Threshold: All three tracks reaching 90%+ maturity simultaneously
- Investment Window Closure: When AI capabilities reach 90% (2027)
- **Post-Scarcity Threshold:** All tracks reaching 95%+ maturity (2029)
- **Economic Paradigm Shift:** Traditional supply/demand economics become obsolete as intelligence and energy approach zero marginal cost

Data sources: Historical technology adoption curves (Wright's Law), Altman predictions (June 2025), renewable energy learning rates, and proprietary exponential convergence modeling.

### **Important Disclosures**

Green Alpha Advisors, LLC is a registered investment advisor. Registration as an investment advisor does not imply any certain level of skill or training.

Green Alpha Investments is a trade name of Green Alpha Advisors, LLC. Green Alpha is a registered trademark of Green Alpha Advisors, LLC. Green Alpha Advisors also owns the trademarks to "Next Economy," "Next Economics," "Next Economy Portfolio Theory," "Investing in the Next Economy," and "Investing for the Next Economy."

This document is for informational purposes only, and should not be construed as legal, tax, investment, or other advice. This presentation does not constitute an offer to sell, or the solicitation of any offer to buy, any security. Any mention of an individual security is for illustrative purposes only and should not be considered a recommendation to buy or sell any security. The presentation does not purport to contain all of the information that may be required to evaluate Green Alpha Investments and its investment strategies.

At the time this document was written and published, some Green Alpha accounts held positions in CATL, Enphase Energy, First Solar, IBM, Recursion Pharmaceuticals, and Vestas Wind Systems. The holdings identified throughout this document do not represent all the securities purchased, sold, or recommended for advisory clients. You may request a list of all recommendations made by Green Alpha in the past year by emailing a request to <u>info@greenalphaadvisors.com</u>. It should not be assumed that the recommendations made in the past or future were or will be profitable or will equal the performance of the securities cited as examples in this document.

At the time this document was written and published, Green Alpha did not hold positions in: Synchron, Precision Neuroscience, Paradromics, Neuralink, Kernel, Blackrock Neurotech, Tesla, BYD, Orsted, OpenAI, Anthropic, Scale AI, Palantir, Apple, Microsoft, Intel, General Electric, ExxonMobil, or Atomwise.

Charts 1-3 were constructed using a combination of publicly available financial data, third-party research reports, and proprietary analytical models developed by Green Alpha Advisors LLC. All data sources were believed accurate at time of publication but are not independently verified. Past performance and historical rates do not guarantee future results. Exponential projections are analytical models that may not reflect actual technological or market developments.

In furnishing this presentation, Green Alpha Advisors reserves the right to amend or replace this presentation at any time, but undertakes no obligation to update, correct, or supplement any information contained herein or to provide the recipient with access to any additional information.

### Bibliography

#### **Books and Academic Works**

Altman, S. (2025, June 10). The Gentle Singularity. *OpenAl Blog*. <u>https://openai.com/blog/the-gentle-singularity</u>

Arthur, W. Brian. *Increasing Returns and Path Dependence in the Economy*. University of Michigan Press, 1994. <u>https://press.umich.edu/Books/I/Increasing-Returns-and-Path-Dependence-in-the-Economy2</u>

Fuller, R. Buckminster. *Critical Path*. St. Martin's Press, 1981. <u>https://us.macmillan.com/books/9780312174910/criticalpath</u> [Knowledge Doubling Curve concept]

Henderson, Bruce D. "The Experience Curve Reviewed." *Boston Consulting Group Perspectives*, 1974. <u>https://www.bcg.com/publications/1973/corporate-finance-strategy-portfolio-management-experience-</u> <u>curve-reviewed-part-ii-the-history</u>

Kelly, Kevin. "The Handoff to Bots." Blog post, 2025. <u>https://kk.org/thetechnium/the-handoff-to-bots/</u>

Kuhn, Thomas S. *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. University of Chicago Press, 1962. <u>https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/S/bo13179781.html</u>

Porter, M. E. (1985). The Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance. Harvard Business School Faculty & Research Publications. <u>https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=193</u>

Ricardo, David. On the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation. John Murray, 1817.

Rifkin, Jeremy. *The Zero Marginal Cost Society: The Internet of Things, the Collaborative Commons, and the Eclipse of Capitalism*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2014. <u>https://us.macmillan.com/books/9781137280114/thezeromarginalcostsociety/</u>

Schumpeter, Joseph A. *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*. Harper & Brothers, 1942. <u>https://periferiaactiva.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/joseph-schumpeter-capitalism-socialism-and-democracy-2006.pdf</u>

Sheng, H., Liu, R., Li, Q. et al. Brain implantation of soft bioelectronics via embryonic development. Nature (2025). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-09106-8</u>

Wilson, E. O. (2014). *The Meaning of Human Existence*. W. W. Norton & Company. <u>https://wwnorton.com/books/The-Meaning-of-Human-Existence/</u>

Wolfram, S. (2025, May 21). What If We Had Bigger Brains? Imagining Minds beyond Ours. *Stephen Wolfram Writings*. <u>https://writings.stephenwolfram.com/2025/05/what-if-we-had-bigger-brains-imagining-minds-beyond-ours/</u>

#### **Research Studies and Reports**

IBM. "The Knowledge Doubling Curve." IBM Research Report, 2020. The concept of the "Knowledge Doubling Curve" was originally proposed by R. Buckminster Fuller in 1982. Fuller estimated that until 1900, human knowledge doubled approximately every century. By 1945, the doubling time decreased to every 25 years. IBM researchers later expanded on this theory and, based on the growth of the "internet of things," predicted that by 2020, knowledge would double every 12 hours. This rapid increase is attributed to the exponential growth of information and the accelerating rate at which it is being created and disseminated. More here: <a href="https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/24735132.2020.1731203">https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/24735132.2020.1731203</a>

Toner-Rodgers, A. (2024). Artificial Intelligence, Scientific Discovery, and Product Innovation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.17866*. <u>https://ideas.repec.org/p/arx/papers/2412.17866.html</u>

MIT Technology Review. "AI-Enabled Materials Discovery Shows 44% Improvement in Novel Material Identification." Research study, via ResearchGate, 2024.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387382231 Artificial Intelligence Scientific Discovery and Prod uct Innovation

Various sources. "AI Drug Discovery: 15-fold Acceleration and 80-90% Phase 1 Success Rates." Pharmaceutical research compilation, see: <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38692505/</u>, <u>https://www.zeclinics.com/blog/ai-is-transforming-drug-discovery</u>, <u>https://www.drugtargetreview.com/article/158593/early-evidence-and-emerging-trends-how-ai-is-shaping-drug-discovery-and-clinical-development</u>, <u>https://intuitionlabs.ai/articles/accelerating-drug-development-ai-pharma</u>, <u>https://www.accc-cancer.org/acccbuzz/blog-post-template/accc-buzz/2024/12/20/harnessing-artificial-intelligence-in-drug-discovery-and-development</u>

#### **Companies and Organizations Mentioned**

Galvorn (Advanced materials), Paradromics (Brain-computer interfaces), Precision Neuroscience (Braincomputer interfaces), Santa Fe Institute (Complexity research), Synchron (Brain-computer interfaces), and Tesla (Electric vehicles and charging infrastructure)

#### **Cultural References**

Harmon, Dan, and Justin Roiland. *Rick and Morty*, "Juricksic Mort." Adult Swim, Season 6, Episode 6, 2022. [Dinosaur civilization episode] <u>https://www.adultswim.com/videos/rick-and-morty/ju-ricksic-mort</u>